

NHMRC Project Grant

Intent to apply
NHMRC project grant
Funding commencing in 2018

Please complete this form and return to:

David Garland
NHMRC Coordinator
Office for Research
Research Funding Team
Email: david.garland@vu.edu.au
Phone: +61 3 9919 4955

No later than Monday 19 September 2016.

Key objectives

The key objective of the NHMRC Project Grant Program is to support the creation of new knowledge by funding the best investigator-initiated research project plan of five years, or less, in any area relevant to human health.

Requirements

Prior to submitting the intent to apply form, you are required to:

- carefully read and understand the NHMRC Project Grant funding rules and advice and instructions for applicants
- meet with the College Research and Training Director to discuss the proposed research project.

Project details

Project title			
Duration		FoR code(s)	
Personnel	Name	College/Institute/Centre/Organisation	Role

Please nominate three (3) discipline peer reviewers; the Office of Research will select one (1) or more of the nominated discipline peer reviewers to participate on the assessment panels.

NHMRC Project Grant

Discipline peer reviewer name	Title	Position	Contact details

The NHMRC peer review panel has been instructed by the NHMRC to assess all applications against the following selection criteria:

- scientific quality – 50%
- significance and/or innovation –25%
- team quality and capability – 25%.

Scientific quality – 50%

Standards	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The hypothesis must be clearly stated. • The experimental design must actually test the hypothesis. • The experimental design must be feasible. 	
Description of how the standards for this criterion are met ¹	
Discipline group panel to comment	
Comments	Ranking
	<input type="checkbox"/> Standards met – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standard achievable with further work – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed

NHMRC Project Grant

Significance and/or innovation – 25%

Standards	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project must be highly likely to make a substantial difference to understanding or treatment of a health issue. 	
Description of how the standards for this criterion are met	
Discipline group panel to comment	
Comments	Ranking
	<input type="checkbox"/> Standards met – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standard achievable with further work – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed

Team quality and capability – 25%

Standards
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The team must have an outstanding track record in the field relative to opportunity. The team must have a strong track record of collaboration. The CI must have an outstanding track record in the area (of international standing) relative to opportunity (include any career interruptions) The top 5 publications in the last 5 years must be provided.

NHMRC Project Grant

Description of how the standards for this criterion are met	
Discipline group panel to comment	
Comments	Ranking
	<input type="checkbox"/> Standards met – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standard achievable with further work – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed

¹Attach copy of each CI track record for the last 5 years (Please put an asterisk next to each publication relevant to proposed application) in the following order: scholarly books; scholarly book chapters; refereed journal articles; refereed conference papers only when the paper was published in full in the proceedings; and other (for example, major exhibitions, compositions or performance)

Additional information

Is this project proposal a resubmission from previous rounds? (If yes, a copy of your previous application and assessor reports needs to be attached)	Yes		No	
If Yes, what where the major comments made by assessors?				

NHMRC Project Grant

How are you planning to address these comments
Discipline group panel to comment
Comments

Discipline group panel summary

Overall recommendation (to proceed all standards must be met or be achievable with further work)		
Final comments	Decision	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Standards met – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standard achievable with further work – Proceed <input type="checkbox"/> Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed	
Name	Signature	Date

If you have any questions, please contact David Garland:

Email: david.garland@vu.edu.au

Phone: +61 3 9919 4955