Intent to apply NHMRC early career fellowship Funding commencing in 2018

Please complete this form and return to:

David Garland NHMRC Coordinator Office for Research Research Funding Team Email: <u>david.garland@vu.edu.au</u> Phone: +61 3 9919 4955

No later than Monday 19 September 2016.

Key objectives

The key objectives of the Early Career Fellowship Program are to:

- provide opportunities for Australian researchers to undertake research that is both of major importance in its field and of benefit to Australian health
- foster career development at the postdoctoral level by encouraging the beneficial experience of a different research environment
- provide for advanced training in health and medical research either in Australia or overseas (where appropriate), to enable Fellows to work on research projects with nominated advisers.

Requirements

Prior to submitting the intent to apply form, you are required to:

- carefully read and understand the NHMRC Early Career Fellowship funding rules and advice and instructions for applicants
- meet with the College Research and Training Director to discuss the proposed research project.

Project details

Project title				
Duration		FoR code(s)		
Personnel	Name	College/Institute/Centre/Organisation		Role

Please nominate three (3) discipline peer reviewers; the Office of Research will select one (1) or more of the nominated discipline peer reviewers to participate on the assessment panels.

Discipline peer reviewer name	Title	Position	Contact details

The NHMRC peer review panel has been instructed by the NHMRC to assess all applications against the following selection criteria:

- research output relative to opportunity 50%
- research proposal and environment 25%
- professional contribution relative to opportunity 25%.

Research output and relative to opportunity – 50%

Standards			
 The candidate must have an exemplary record of research output relative to their discipline as assessed in terms of (for example): quantity and quality of research publications in their field grant funding conference presentations influence on policy or practice (including relevant industry experience) patents. 			
Description of how the standards	for this criterion are met ¹		
Discipline group panel to comment			
Comments	Ranking		
	 Standards met – Proceed Standard achievable with further work – Proceed Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed 		

¹Attach copy of each CI track record for the last 5 years (Please put an asterisk next to each publication relevant to proposed application) in the following order: scholarly books; scholarly book chapters; refereed journal articles; refereed conference papers only when the paper was published in full in the proceedings; and other (for example, major exhibitions, compositions or performance)

Research proposal and environment – 25%

Standards			
 The research proposal: has objectives that are extremely well defined is near flawless by design will be achieved addresses an issue of utmost importance to human health. The research environment: is extremely appropriate for the proposed research provides exemplary mentoring and training arrangements. Justification for remaining in the same research group is sound (if applicable). 			
Discipline group panel to comment			
Comments	Ranking		
	□ Standards met – Proceed		
	Standard achievable with further work – Proceed		
	Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed		

Professional contribution relative to opportunity – 25%

Standards			
 Is an exemplary contributor to research as assessed in terms of (for example): recognition through prizes and awards supervisory experience broader community engagement as well as contribution to the research community peer review experience. 			
	Description of how the standards for this criterion are met		
Discipline group panel to comment			
Comments		Ranking	
		□ Standards met – Proceed	
		Standard achievable with further work – Proceed	
		Standards cannot be met – Do not proceed	

Additional information

Is this project proposal a resubmission from previous rounds? (If yes, a copy of your previous application and assessor reports needs to be attached)	Yes		No	
If Yes, what where the major comments made by assesso	ors?			
How are you planning to address these comments				
Discipline group panel to comment				
Comments				

Discipline group panel summary

Overall recommendation (to proceed all standards must be met or be achievable with further work)			
Final comments		Dec	sision
		□ S w □ S	tandards met – Proceed tandard achievable with further vork – Proceed tandards cannot be met – Do not proceed
Name	Signature		Date

If you have any questions, please contact David Garland:

Email: <u>david.garland@vu.edu.au</u> Phone: +61 3 9919 4955