

OFFICE FOR RESEARCH TRAINING, QUALITY AND INTEGRITY

APPLICATION FOR MID-CANDIDATURE REVIEW

This application is for Doctor of Philosophy candidates only.

A full set of the completed documentation in one PDF document must lodged via candidature@vu.edu.au **20 working days** prior to the scheduled presentation date.

1. Candidate and Supervisor Details

Title: Family name:

Given name(s): Student ID:

Thesis Title:

Name of Principal Supervisor*:

*It is normally expected that the principal supervisor will have discussed and agreed to the content of the written submission with the associate supervisor(s) prior to submission.

2. Enrolment Details

Institute:

Date of Initial Enrolment:

Current Confirmed Completion Date:

Maximum Completion Date.....

Date Candidature Confirmed:.....

Full-time

Part-time

Approved Submission Thesis Format:

Thesis (Standard Format)

Thesis by Creative Product

Thesis by Publication

4. Achievements since Confirmation of Candidature

	No	Yes	Please provide the details.
Submitted a paper(s) for publication in a peer-reviewed publication?			
Have a paper(s) accepted for publication in a peer-reviewed publication?			
Presented (oral presentation or poster) at a conference external to Victoria University?			
Attended Researcher Development Programs at VU and/or external to the University?			You may wish to submit your VU Develop List of Attendance as part of your submission.
Other (please elaborate)			

5. Ethics & Intellectual Property Approval

	N/A	Yes	No
If applicable, has Ethics Approval been granted? Insert No. here			Please elaborate as to why ethics approval has not been finalised in your submission.
If applicable, have Intellectual Property agreements been finalised between Victoria University and the Industry Partner?		Date of Approval	Please elaborate as to why Intellectual Property agreements have not been finalised in your submission.
Has there been a significant change of approved research direction which will require the University's approval or a modification of your ethics application via this review process?		Please elaborate in your submission as to the nature of the changes.	

6. Candidate's and Supervisor's assessment of Progress (to be completed by both candidate and supervisor to Panel's consideration)

Candidate's self-assessment of their progress towards completion.

Please Tick	Assessment	
	Expected to submit in 4.0 years.	
	Not expected to submit in 4.0 years.	Please ensure you outline the reasons for your assessment in your submitted documentation.
	No progress and unsure whether completion is possible at all.	Please ensure you outline the reasons for your assessment in your submitted documentation.

Supervisor's assessment of candidate's progress towards completion.

Please Tick		
	Progress and expected to submit in 4.0 years.	
	Progress but not expected to submit in 4.0 years.	Supervisors may wish to outline the reasons for their assessment to the Panel via their notes at the Milestone. Please ensure that you have discussed these with your student and ensure that confidentiality is respected.
	No progress and unsure whether completion is possible at all.	

7. Facilities and Support (to be completed by the Candidate and Principal Supervisor)

	Yes	No	Candidate to elaborate as appropriate, or elaborate in submission.	Supervisor comment, if applicable.
Are the facilities/equipment required for your research project available within the Institute?				
Are there any OHS (physical, chemical, health and well-being, personal safety) issues that are relevant for progress in candidature?				
Have you discussed your career/employment goals with your supervisor and developed a plan to achieve those goals?				

8. Panel Evaluation- Doctoral Degrees

The information collected in this report is for the sole purpose of documenting the candidate's Mid-Candidature Review. Following the oral presentation, the feedback collected will be forwarded to the candidate and supervisory team, indicating an outcome.

AQF Level 10 Graduate Outcomes	Disagree	Agree	Comments
Given the stage candidature and the progress made, the candidate is satisfactorily working towards being able to systematically and critically understand a complex field of learning and specialised research skills for the advancement of learning and/or for professional practice.			
Knowledge			
Given the stage candidature and the progress made, the candidate is satisfactorily working towards systemically and critically understanding a substantial and complex body of knowledge at the frontier of their discipline or area of professional practice.			
Skills			
<p>Given the stage candidature and the progress made, the candidate is satisfactorily working towards becoming an expert, with specialised cognitive, technical and research skills in a discipline area to independently and systematically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engage in critical reflection, synthesis and evaluation • develop, adapt and implement research methodologies to extend and redefine existing knowledge or professional practice • disseminate and promote new insights to peers and the community • generate original knowledge and understanding to make a substantial contribution to a discipline or area of professional practice 			
Application of knowledge and skills			
Given the stage candidature and the progress made, the candidate is satisfactorily working towards being able to apply knowledge and skills to demonstrate autonomy, authoritative judgement, adaptability and responsibility as an expert and leading practitioner or scholar.			

Specific recommendations from the Panel for the candidate to work on to improve the quality of the thesis while ensuring that they complete their degree in a timely manner.

Potential Areas for Comment:

- Research: Aims of the Project, Statement of Significance, Literature Review, Methodology and methods, Analysis and interpretation, Conclusion.
- Ethics and integrity, Intellectual Property, Health and Safety
- Transferable skills: written and oral communication skills, problem solving, project= management, leadership, collaboration, doctoral industry experience (APRItern, VU ROP8003)

Comments:

Classification of the Mid-Candidature Review

The panel will classify that:

Satisfactory

Candidate will continue to work with their supervisors to make progress towards their next Milestone. There will be no need to prepare a response to the Milestone Report.

Not satisfactory

Candidate will be able to respond to this outcome.

Panel Composition	Name
Chair of Panel:	
Discipline Leader or Academic:	
An Academic and Active Researcher:	

Chair Signature:

Date:

9. Institute Deputy Director

Deputy Director's Signature:

Date: