Clarifications to the Problem (6th September 2011)

The dates specified on Claimants Exhibit No 3 and No 4 are inconsistent. We seek clarity on the
whether the date indicated on Exhibit No 4 is an error (if so by whom) or whether there is a plan
dated on such a date? Please provide further information to explain this inconsistency. We wish to
clarify these facts as it may have considerable bearing on proving meeting of the minds for this
contract.

The reference to the date in Claimant's Exhibit No.4, "Plan SK001 dated 26/04/2009" should instead be "Plan SK001 dated 26/04/2010".

2. Have any investments been initiated by Big Adventure Group Ltd on the belief of the Lease taking place i.e. purchase of machinery, moving or closing down stores to amalgamate. It is important to clarify this or any investment in the site, to clarify any detrimental reliance.

No such investment has been made on the part of Big Adventure Group Ltd.

3. The information regarding the total development and whether the development is a standalone development or if this site is part of a multiple tenant, shop complex. We wish to clarify any other interests in the development so we can ascertain whether those interests impact on the contract.

The proposed development is to be a shopping complex, or namely, a mall. There will be other tenants.

Moot Administration